



Data Compliance

Department of Local Government Finance

Bonita Wheatley
Assistant Director, Operations

IT Manager's Conference 12/05/2008



Agenda

- Overview of top non-compliance issues
 - ❖ Real Property Data
 - ❖ Personal Property Data
 - ❖ Sales Disclosure Data
 - ❖ Auditor Data
 - ❖ Common Load Issues
- File Format Requirements
- Current review process
- Q & A



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Real Property Data

- ❖ Fields do not correctly total (e.g. Land + Improvements should equal Total Land and Improvements fields)
- ❖ File does not have valid State tax districts
- ❖ Sum of several tax district lines exceeds 5% tolerance when compared to Abstract
- ❖ Total AV of all tax districts exceeds 5% tolerance when compared to Abstract



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Real Property Data - continued

- ❖ Farmland AV is greater than Non-Res Land AV
- ❖ 18-digit parcel number contains non-numeric characters or invalid tax districts or not in the PARCEL NUMBER field
- ❖ File contains negative assessed values
- ❖ Submission is missing files and/or missing values in child files (PARCEL, IMPROVE, LAND, DWELLING, BUILDING, BLDDETL, APPEAL)



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Real Property Data - continued

- ❖ Values in child files (e.g. LAND, IMPROV) do not match values listed in PARCEL file
- ❖ Government owned and/or other non-assessable property listed with an assessed value (Property Class codes 600 – 660)
- ❖ Exempt properties listed with a zero gross assessed value (Property Class codes 670 – 699)
- ❖ More than 5% of records have invalid property class codes



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Personal Property Data

- ❖ AV (not including Utility AV) exceeds 5% tolerance when compared to Abstract (Note: Utility AV should be reported in the file but we exclude these amounts when comparing AV values to the Abstract)
- ❖ AV includes Inventory values (beginning with 06/Pay07 these values should no longer be in the data file exported to the DLGF) or Government owned non-assessable values



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

- Personal Property Data – continued
 - ❖ Locally assessed utilities are reported in the Business Deprec Pers Prop fields instead of the Utilities fields
 - ❖ More than 5% of records have invalid NAICS codes
 - ❖ Large number of PERSPROP records have no matching record in POOLDATA file
 - ❖ Missing acquisition and/or end dates in POOLDATA file



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Sales Disclosures

- ❖ The number of non-exempt records submitted is below revenue estimates (does not meet the 90% threshold)
- ❖ The number of non-exempt records missing "critical data" further reduces the count below the 90% threshold
- ❖ Parcel numbers in sales file does not match to PARCEL and/or 2nd year of sales
- ❖ File does not contain all calendar year "splits"



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Sales Disclosures - continued

- ❖ Large number of land contracts (end of contract filing) for calendar year and fees were collected
- ❖ Fees are incorrectly collected by County Auditor for all sales with a sales price > 0 , regardless of sales condition
- ❖ Sales file does not contain 18-digit number or the number contains non-numeric characters
- ❖ Valid & Non-valid confused with Non-exempt & Exempt classifications



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

- Sales Disclosures - continued
 - ❖ File submitted before sales have been validated
 - ❖ Errors in missing “critical data” fields include:
 - No matching parcel number in PARCEL file (this means that the tax districts also cannot be verified)
 - Missing sales prices
 - Missing buyer and/or seller names
 - Different tax district number used in sales file when compared to PARCEL file



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Auditor Data

- ❖ 18-digit parcel number contains non-numeric characters or not in the PARCEL NUMBER field
- ❖ Unable to match parcel number to the real property file
- ❖ No personal property in the file
- ❖ Calculated net tax not matching the reported net tax
- ❖ Issues with real and personal property abatement codes or amounts
- ❖ Issues with UEZ codes or amounts



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Auditor Data - continued

- ❖ Too many Exempt records coded as "Other"
- ❖ Amount of assessed value variances by taxing district exceeds 5% when compared to abstract
- ❖ Total amount of assessed value variance for county exceeds 5% when compared to abstract
- ❖ Amount of Exempt value variances by taxing district
- ❖ Variances in the Investment Deduction
- ❖ Total variances in real and personal property



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Auditor Data - continued

- ❖ Government owned and/or other non-assessable property listed with an assessed value (Property Class codes 600 – 660)
- ❖ Exempt properties listed with a zero gross assessed value (Property Class codes 670 – 699)
- ❖ Child file (ADJMENTS) has records that cannot be matched to records listed in TAXDATA file (has orphaned records)



Overview of the top non-compliance issues

■ Common load issues

- ❖ Improperly formatted files (out of alignment)
- ❖ Incorrect data type (letters in numeric fields) such as non-numeric data in acreage fields
- ❖ Unknown or extra characters in fields
- ❖ Invalid dates (03/01/0000, 04/31/2005, 02/29/2007)
- ❖ Duplicate or missing Parcel Numbers and/or Tax ID numbers
- ❖ Replacement PARCEL files which do not include Parcel Numbers used in other, previously submitted, files



File Format Requirements

- 1 Each file should have a header record and a trailer record.
- 2 All fields should be fixed length.
- 3 Left justify all alphanumeric fields.
- 4 All numeric fields should have leading zeros.
- 5 Assume no decimal precision for all numeric fields without format clarification.



File Format Requirements

- 6 All decimal precision should be implied. For example, for format 3.2, send 12345
- 7 Yes/No fields should have 'Y' or 'N.'
- 8 All date fields should be in mm/dd/yyyy format.
- 9 All fields which reference a Code List should contain a value from the lists provided in the 50 IAC, Article 12 Computer Standards.
- 10 Place a negative sign "-" at the far left of the field for all negative numbers.



File Format Requirements

- 11 Note all format requests specified at the field level.
- 12 Parcel Number and GIS Parcel Number should not include "-" or "."
- 13 When capturing data other than values specified in the code lists, please send the most appropriate item included in the code list.



More on File Format Requirements

- The Emergency Rule is posted at:
www.in.gov/dlgf/files/EmergencyRule_for_ComputerStandards.pdf
- The updated code list for Data File Submissions is located at:
www.in.gov/dlgf/files/50_IAC_23_Code_List.pdf
- Data Requirements, Compliancy, Deadlines, & Uses is posted at:
www.in.gov/dlgf/files/DataRequirements.pdf



Current review process

- Submit all data files to Diane Powers, Legislative Services Agency, for receipt confirmation and cataloging of data submission
 - Email: dpowers@iga.in.gov
 - Or Mail to:
Legislative Services Agency
Office of Fiscal and Management Analysis
200 W. Washington St., Suite 301
Indianapolis IN 46204
Attention: Diane Powers
- Questions concerning data file formatting and compliance issues should be emailed to the DLGF at data@dlgf.in.gov



Current review process

- Diane Powers acknowledges receipt of the data and notifies programmers (LSA & State Budget Agency) the file is ready to load.
- Data files are then reviewed by LSA & DLGF data analysts independently. (Note: LSA does not review the sales files; they are only reviewed by the DLGF data analysts.)
- Once both agencies agree on the status, a compliance worksheet is mailed to both the County Assessor and County Auditor.



Q & A Session

- Questions?
- Send correspondence regarding additional questions to: Data@dlgf.in.gov